

**A SCIENTIFIC EXPLORATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL NATURE,
CATEGORIZATION, AND DEFINITIONS OF ECONOMIC
POTENTIAL EFFICACY**

Abdulaziz Gulamov Abdullayevich

abdulaziz.gulamov@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4702-7468>

Tashkent State Transport University

Abstract: When the notion of capacity is invoked in reference to a network, the scholarly discourse delves into topics pertaining not only to the network’s productive potential but also to its capacity to effectively meet consumer demands via judicious employment of its available resources.

Keywords: economic capacity, influential determinant, authorial methodology, fundamental law, industry’s operations.

The transport system of Uzbekistan holds notable significance in the economic development of the country. Nonetheless, it is a vast infrastructure industry that draws heavily upon the resources of the society. The evaluation of the efficacy of the industry’s operations necessitates the identification of the economic resources known as production factors. This determination holds significance in ensuring adequate measurement of potential.

The expression «potential», extensively employed in the economic parlance of the Uzbek language, has infiltrated the lexicon of the Russian language and is acknowledged as a corollary for an alternate term that has been utilized since the 1990s, namely, the term «potential». The present investigation presents an overview of the etymological underpinnings and contemporary explication of the notion of «potentiality». The scientific discourse surrounding several interpretations of the concept of «economic potential» first emerged in the 1970s, during which it was widely recognized that a thorough investigation of the issue of potential assessment constituted a crucial undertaking. This paper aims to explore the varied definitions allocated to the term «potential», its fundamental nature, composition, and interrelation with other economic categories. The Uzbek language Explanatory Dictionary defines the term «potential» as being of Latin origin. The term «potential» or «potential» exhibits a dual signification, as scholarly discourse has acknowledged [1]. Upon consulting this particular source, it becomes evident that the ensuing elucidation is presented: «Prospects in all regards, including the extent of authority; instruments that are at disposal, and the array of potentialities» There has been a marked increase in the number of vehicles on the roads in recent years, leading to a variety of negative

consequences such as traffic congestion, air pollution and noise pollution. Additionally, the overreliance on fossil fuels for vehicle propulsion is a significant contributor to global climate change, which has far-reaching and potentially catastrophic consequences for the planet. Therefore, it is imperative that individuals and governments take proactive steps to reduce the number of vehicles on the roads while encouraging the adoption of sustainable and energy-efficient modes of transportation. The aforementioned notion of «usable but unrealized» can be contextualized within the discourse of untapped potential whereby a given entity or resource remains functional and serviceable, yet has not been fully actualized to its fullest capacity. This concept touches upon the idea of unfulfilled promise and the unexplored possibilities that lay dormant beneath the surface. Consequently, an investigation of the factors that may be impeding the realization of this potential is necessary in order to bridge the gap between usability and actualization. The term «potential» as stated in the lexicon, has its origins in Greek and it is officially translated as «potentiality» The aforementioned reference entails the probable or inherent possibilities [a. A documented record evidences that the term «suitability» encompasses the essence of capability and proficiency, including attributes of authority, sound judgment, and a comprehensive mandate.

Through ongoing research conducted on the etymological origins of the terms «potential» and «potency» as outlined in the previously published Explanatory Dictionary of the Uzbek language during the Soviet era, a commentary was encountered regarding the denotative meaning of aptitude and aptness [2], referencing the Arabic linguistic root. The aforementioned deliberations serve as the underpinning for the proposition that in the present investigation, the terms «potential» and «potentiality» may be employed interchangeably, withal, it should be underscored that within the context of this discourse, these expressions have identical connotations. The modern scientific phenomenon, acknowledged as the «theory of potentials» within the framework of scientific cognition, is currently undergoing rapid expansion. At this juncture, it is recommended to abstain from deviating from the primary tenets of the theoretical framework. A theory encompasses a set of fundamental concepts within a particular domain of expertise, which purports to explain and predict the behavior of phenomena and processes in the domains of nature and society. It postulates the existence of interdependence and coherence in the evolution of these domains, thereby imparting a sense of legitimacy to their unfolding patterns.

The theory of capacities entails a commentary regarding the all-encompassing lexicon that is associated with the concept of «potential» (as depicted in Figure 1), which pertains to a wide spectrum of socio-economic activities within society. A considerable portion of the scholarly literature pertaining to the field of capacity theory pertains to the System of Assessment Indicators of Economic Potential. The

examination of these scientific perspectives, affording an opportunity for discerning distinctions in the characterizations of «economic potential» yields an understanding that its nature and structural components are inextricably linked to categories such as «national wealth» and «level of economic advancement» The comprehension of economic potential has given rise to four distinct approaches, including:

As the combined capacity of various sectors of the economy;

According to the literature, the aggregation of accessible assets can be described as the summation of available resources [3].

The terms «economic potential» «economic power» and «economic potential» have been asserted to possess congruent semantic connotations [4].

The potential for economic growth arises from the intricate economic and production interdependencies among different economic actors. According to our perspective, the crux of the concepts of «potentiation» and «potential» is being discussed.

The process of identification serves the purpose of elucidating the forthcoming economic potential. Although both terms share the meaning of «strength» in the Latin language, they exhibit certain distinctions. The term «potentiation» denotes the phenomenon of embryonic or nascent potentials that are neither concretely realized nor clearly delineated, and thus remain in a state of rudimentary formlessness that defies precise specification. During the course of economic enterprise, the term «potentiation» transforms into «potential», denoting an authentic, well-defined, and rigorously established prospect that remains unutilized for certain reasons yet remains available for exploitation. Existing capabilities are commonly supported by resources for both parties involved. The terminology of «potentiation» denotes the reservoirs that engender latent prospects, while the terminology of «potential» signifies the extant reserves that are amenable to allocation in the production process concurrently. In expounding upon the concept of «economic potential» it is deemed appropriate to enumerate its principal characteristics that set it apart from other categories:

The term «economic potential» denotes the aptitude and potentiality of the system under investigation.

The aforementioned opportunities can be effectively leveraged solely in the presence of adequate resources, connoting that the economic potential is predicated on the cumulative summation of specific resources.

The utilization of aforementioned resources within the production process is tacitly indicated, while the terminology denotes the extent of advancement attained.

The system’s capacities and functionalities are primarily contingent upon the nature and attributes of socioeconomic relationships and interactions among individuals, enterprises, and public institutions participating in the economic process.

The objective of these associations is to optimize the fulfillment of demands by generating them and fabricating commodities in a resource-efficient manner.

The preceding elements have been consolidated, and the economic potential has been conceptualized as the collective opportunities for the creation and optimal fulfillment of societal demands for commodities and amenities within the framework of socio-economic interactions. This entails the judicious utilization of extant resources. In order for an enterprise to function and advance optimally, a requisite level of production capacity must be established. Thus, gaining knowledge pertaining to varying theoretical perspectives, expounding upon the intrinsic nature of production capacity, becomes imperative. Within these perspectives, attitudes are identified as integral aspects for determining the constituent elements within the realm of production potential and the associated principles that govern its evolution. Furthermore, these attitudes serve to substantiate the efficacious employment of various means, methods, and modalities towards enhancing production potential. During the scrutiny of research pertaining to potential assessment predicaments, it was ascertained that both network and enterprise potential have been inadequately investigated [5]. It merits separate attention that the problem under scrutiny is deemed highly significant, in light of the prevalent correlation between societal economic potential and the overall level of development, wherein the fundamental law and nature of the ongoing networked processes remain unexplored. In the process of formulating and predicting efficacious decisions with regard to networks, obtaining unbiased information pertaining to the network's capacity to accomplish specific objectives across diverse spheres of operation holds fundamental significance. Within this context, the capacity to carry out a comprehensive and inclusive assessment, encompassing all potentialities and constraints, is deemed to constitute an influential determinant. Hence, in the context of network systems, the term «capacity» encompasses not only the production potential of the network, but also its capacity to optimally meet the demands of the end-users by judiciously leveraging its present resources. It is proposed that an authorial methodology be adopted, one which exposes the fundamental nature and makeup of the economic capacity of the road transport sector. Such an approach should be based on the analysis and deliberation presented heretofore. Two aspects pertaining to the economic potentiality, which are based on periodicity, are deemed as complementary facets in this respect.

References:

1. Расулов, М. Х., Машарипов, М. Н., Расулмухамедов, М. М., & Суюнбаев, Ш. М. (2019). Выбор рациональной технологии увязки локомотивов на приграничном пункте пропуска «Ок куприк-железнодорожный». *Universum: технические науки*, (10-1 (67)), 32-36.

2. Машарипов, Маъсуд, and Bekhzod Sadullayev. АНАЛИЗ ВРЕМЕНИ ОЖИДАНИЯ ЛОКОМОТИВОВ ГРУЗОВЫХ ПОЕЗДОВ В ПУНКТЕ ОБОРОТА. 2019.
3. RASULOV, M., MASHARIPOV, M., & BOZOROV, R. И. Т. ИННОВАЦИОННЫЙ ТРАНСПОРТ Учредители: Уральский государственный университет путей сообщения. *Российская академия транспорта (РАТ)*, (2), 42-48.
4. Yuldashev, S., & Masharipov, M. N. (2020). RECOVERY OF WORN PARTS BY ELECTRODES. *Journal of Tashkent Institute of Railway Engineers*, 16(3), 149-153.
5. Расулов, М. Х., Машарипов, М. Н., & Абдуллаев, Ж. Я. (2021). Анализ степени влияния коэффициента съема пассажирских поездов на пропуск грузовых на двухпутных участках. *Инновационный транспорт*, (2), 59-64.
6. Masharipov, M. N. (2020). INCREASING THE STRENGTH OF WORN PARTS WITH COMPOSITE MATERIALS. *Journal of Tashkent Institute of Railway Engineers*, 16(2), 168-172.
7. Rasulov, M. X., Suyunbayev, S. M., & Masharipov, M. N. (2020). Research of development prospects of transportation hub in JSC" UMC". *Journal of Tashkent Institute of Railway Engineers*, 16(3), 71-77.
8. Rasulov, M. X., Masharipov, M. N., Rasulmuhamedov, M. M., & Suyunbaev Sh, M. (2019). The provision terms of train with locomotives and their standing time. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 6(9), 10963-10974.
9. Машарипов, М. Н., Расулов, М. Х., Расулмухаммедов, М. М., & Суюнбаев, Ш. М. (2019). Расчет эксплуатируемого парка грузовых локомотивов графоаналитическим методом на языке программирования С. *Интеллектуальные технологии на транспорте*, (1 (17)), 5-12.
10. Gulamov, A., Masharipov, M., & Egamberdiyeva, K. (2022, June). Planning of new transit corridors-New opportunities for the development of transit in Uzbekistan. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2432, No. 1, p. 030019). AIP Publishing LLC.
11. Rakhmanberdiev, R., Gulamov, A., Masharipov, M., & Umarova, D. (2022, June). The digitalization of business processes of railway transport of the Republic of Uzbekistan. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2432, No. 1, p. 030111). AIP Publishing LLC.
12. Rasulov, M. X., Rasulmukhamedov, M. M., Suyunbayev, S. M., & Masharipov, M. N. (2020). AUTOMATION OF THE PROCESS OF ATTACHING LOCOMOTIVES TO TRAINS IN CONDITIONS OF A NON-PAIRING GRAPHICS. *Journal of Tashkent Institute of Railway Engineers*, 16(2), 49-65.

13. Masharipov, M. N., Rasulov, M. K., Rasilmukhammedov, M. M., & Suyunbaev, S. M. (2019). Raschet ekspluatiruemogo parka gruzovykh lokomotivov grafoanaliticheskim metodom na yazyke programmirovaniya C#. *Intellectual Technologies on Transport*, 17, 5-12.
14. Kuanyshbayev, Z. M., Suyunbayev, S. M., & Masharipov, M. N. (2013). A STUDY OF LOCOMOTIVE COMPONENTS IN INTERMODAL AND UNIMODAL TRANSPORTATION. *SCIENCE AND WORLD*, 49.
15. Masharipov, M. N., Suyunbaev, S. M., & Rasilmukhammedov, M. M. (2019). ISSUES OF REGULATION OF TRAIN LOCOMOTIVES OF THE RAILWAY SECTION CHUKURSAY-SARYAGASH. *Journal of Tashkent Institute of Railway Engineers*, 15(3), 144-154.
16. Masharipov, M. N. (2019). THE METHOD OF CALCULATING THE MIDDLE SIMPLE LOCOMOTIVE AND THE TRAIN COMPOSITION IN THE TURNOVER POINT BASED ON THE MODELING IN THE CONDITIONS OF A PAIR TRAFFIC OF TRAINS. *Journal of Tashkent Institute of Railway Engineers*, 15(3), 173-185.
17. МАШАРИПОВ, М. (2019). РАСЧЕТ ПОТРЕБНОГО ПАРКА МАГИСТРАЛЬНЫХ ЛОКОМОТИВОВ В ГРУЗОВОМ ДВИЖЕНИИ ЖЕЛЕЗНОДОРОЖНОГО УЧАСТКА ЧУКУРСАЙ-САРЫАГАЧ. In *ИНФРАСТРУКТУРА И ЭКСПЛУАТАЦИЯ НАЗЕМНОГО ТРАНСПОРТА* (pp. 190-193).
18. Abdullaev, Z., Rasulov, M., & Masharipov, M. (2021). Features of determining capacity on double-way lines when passing high-speed passenger trains. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 264, p. 05002). EDP Sciences.
19. Машарипов, М. Н., & Алламуратова, М. С. К. (2021). УПРАВЛЕНИЕ ЦИФРОВОЙ ЭКОНОМИКОЙ ПО ИННОВАЦИОННЫМ ТЕХНОЛОГИЯМ. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 2(4), 63-73.
20. Rasulov, M., Masharipov, M., & Ismatullaev, A. (2021). Optimization of the terminal operating mode during the formation of a container block train. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 264, p. 05025). EDP Sciences.
21. Masharipov, M. N., Sujunbaev, S. M., Umirzakov, D. D. U., SA'DULLAEV, B. A. U., & ALLAMURATOVA, M. S. K. (2022). Research of the effect of transition of standart weight of trains on locomotive use indicators. *Молодой ученый*, (12 (407)), 23.
22. Numonjonovich, M. M. (2022). ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE ROLE OF MASLOW'S HIERARCHY OF NEEDS. *Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal*, 3(7), 5-10.

23. Marufdjan, R., Masud, M., & Ramazon, B. (2022). RESEARCH ON THE AERODYNAMICS OF HIGH-SPEED TRAINS. *Universum: технические науки*, (6-7 (99)), 30-35.
24. Машарипов, М. Н., Суюнбаев, Ш. М., Умирзаков, Д. Д. Ў., & Нурматжонов, А. А. Ў. (2022). Темир йўл участкасининг юк ташиш қобилияти ва поезд оғирлик меъёрлари ўртасидаги ўзаро боғлиқликни тадқиқ этиш. *Молодой специалист*, 1(2), 28.
25. Masharipov, M. N. (2019). Improvement of the technology of freight locomotives use at the railway section Chukursay-Sary-Agash. *TashIRE INFORMATION*, 1.
26. Abdullaeva, M. N., Masharipov, M. N., & Allamuratova, M. S. (2021). DIVERSIFICATION OF PRODUCTION AS A CONDITION FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES. *Экономика и социум*, (2-1 (81)), 5-10.
27. Расулов, М. Х., Машарипов, М. Н., Расулмухамедов, М. М., & Суюнбаев, Ш. М. (2019). Выбор рациональной технологии увязки локомотивов на приграничном пункте пропуска «Ок куприк-железнодорожный». *Universum: технические науки*, (10-1 (67)), 32-36.
28. Rasulov, M. X., Suyunbayev, S. M., & Masharipov, M. N. (2020). RESEARCH OF DEVELOPMENT PROSPECTS OF TRANSPORTATION HUB IN JSC. UMC", " *Journal of Tashkent Institute of Railway Engineers*, 16(3).
29. Masharipov, M. N. Improvement of the technology of freight locomotives use at the railway section Chukursay-Sary-Agash. *TashIRE INFORMATION*, № 1.-2019.
30. Numondjonovich, M. M., & Dolimjon o'g'li, U. D. (2022). THE ROLE OF EXPORT DEALS IN THE ECONOMY OF UZBEKISTAN. *Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal*, 3(8), 276-279.
31. Numonjonovich, M. M. S., & Nodirjon o'g'li, N. N. (2021). ENSURING CONDITIONS FOR EFFECTIVE DEVELOPMENT OF INDUSTRY BASED ON PRODUCTION DIVERSIFICATION.
32. RASULOV, M. X., MASHARIPOV, M. N., & BOZOROV, R. S. ИННОВАЦИОННЫЙ ТРАНСПОРТ. *ИННОВАЦИОННЫЙ ТРАНСПОРТ Учредители: Уральский государственный университет путей сообщения, Российская академия транспорта (РАТ)*, (2), 42-48.