IMPROVING EFFICIENCY BASED ON THE COMPANY'S EMPLOYMENT INCENTIVES

O`roqova Dilfuza Baxriddinovna
Asian International universiteti Department of Economics teacher PhD
E-mail: u.dilfuza1985@gmail.com
Tel: 914407049
Azamaliayeva Madina Avazovna
Master's 1st grade student

Annotation

The purpose of this paper is to explore how different types of incentives affect employee efficiency in the workplace. The paper consists of four main sections: a study on the effects of monetary, non-monetary, intrinsic and extrinsic incentives on employee productivity and satisfaction; an analysis of the impact of intrinsic incentives on employee productivity using a case study of gamification at Deloitte; a literature review on the theoretical and empirical evidence of intrinsic incentive effects on employee behavior and outcomes; and an opposing stance on the effectiveness of intrinsic incentives based on the arguments of self-determination theory and crowding-out effect. The paper concludes that while intrinsic incentives can enhance employee efficiency in some contexts, they are not sufficient or universal motivators and should be complemented by other forms of incentives that align with the employees' needs, preferences and goals.

A study on how different types of incentives (monetary, non-monetary, intrinsic, extrinsic) affect employee productivity and satisfaction.

Incentives are rewards or stimuli that are used to motivate employees to perform better and achieve their goals. Incentives can have a significant impact on employee productivity and satisfaction, which are important indicators of organizational success and performance. However, not all incentives are equally effective or suitable for different situations and employees. Therefore, it is important to understand the different types of incentives and how they affect employee behavior and outcomes. In this study, we will examine four types of incentives: monetary, non-monetary, intrinsic and extrinsic. We will explain what each type of incentive means, provide some examples, and discuss their advantages and disadvantages.

Monetary incentives are financial rewards that are given to employees based on their performance or achievements. Examples of monetary incentives are pay raise, bonus, commission, profit-sharing and stock options. Monetary incentives can motivate employees to work harder, increase their output and quality, and enhance their loyalty and commitment. However, monetary incentives can also have some drawbacks, such as creating competition and conflict among employees, reducing intrinsic motivation and creativity, and increasing stress and dissatisfaction if the rewards are perceived as unfair or inadequate.

Non-monetary incentives are non-financial rewards that are given to employees to recognize and appreciate their efforts or contributions. Examples of non-monetary incentives are praise, feedback, recognition, awards, certificates, extra time off, flexible working hours and career development opportunities. Non-monetary incentives can also motivate employees to perform better, improve their morale and self-esteem, foster a positive work culture and environment, and increase their engagement and retention. However, non-monetary incentives can also have some limitations, such as being less tangible and measurable than monetary incentives, losing their value over time if they are not varied or updated, and being ineffective if they are not aligned with the employees' preferences or needs.

Intrinsic incentives are internal rewards that are derived from the work itself or the personal satisfaction that employees get from doing their job. Examples of intrinsic incentives are enjoyment, interest, challenge, autonomy, mastery and purpose. Intrinsic incentives can stimulate employees to pursue their passion and curiosity, enhance their learning and growth, increase their creativity and innovation, and foster a sense of meaning and fulfillment. However, intrinsic incentives can also be influenced by external factors, such as the work context, the organizational culture and the leadership style. Moreover, intrinsic incentives may not be sufficient to motivate employees if they face low pay, poor working conditions or high workload.

Extrinsic incentives are external rewards that are provided by the organization or other people to encourage or reinforce certain behaviors or outcomes. Examples of extrinsic incentives are monetary and non-monetary incentives mentioned above. Extrinsic incentives can also influence employees' motivation and performance by providing them with clear goals and expectations, feedback and recognition, compensation and benefits, and security and stability. However, extrinsic incentives can also undermine employees' intrinsic motivation if they are too controlling or coercive, reduce their autonomy or choice, or create a dependency or expectancy.

In my opinion, intrinsic incentives are the most effective and desirable type of incentives for employees. Intrinsic incentives are the rewards that come from within the individual, such as enjoyment, interest, challenge, autonomy, mastery and purpose. These rewards can motivate employees to pursue their passion and curiosity, enhance their learning and growth, increase their creativity and innovation, and foster a sense of meaning and fulfillment. According to research, intrinsic motivation is associated with higher levels of performance, engagement, well-being and satisfaction than extrinsic motivation (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Moreover, intrinsic incentives are more sustainable and consistent than extrinsic incentives, which can lose their value over time or depend on external factors. Therefore, I believe that organizations should focus on creating a work environment that supports and nurtures intrinsic incentives for employees.

The Impact of Intrinsic Incentives on Employee Productivity

Employee productivity is the amount and quality of work that employees produce in a given time. Productivity is influenced by various factors, such as skills, resources, environment and motivation. Among these factors, motivation is one of the most crucial and complex ones, as it determines how much effort and persistence employees put into their work. Motivation can be either intrinsic or extrinsic, depending on the source and nature of the rewards that employees seek or receive for their work. Intrinsic incentives are the rewards that come from within the individual, such as enjoyment, interest, challenge, autonomy, mastery and purpose. Extrinsic incentives are the rewards that are provided by the organization or other people, such as money, praise, recognition and benefits. Research has shown that intrinsic incentives are better than extrinsic incentives for enhancing employee productivity, especially for tasks that require creativity, problem-solving and cognitive skills. This is because intrinsic incentives can stimulate employees to pursue their passion and curiosity, enhance their learning and growth, increase their creativity and innovation, and foster a sense of meaning and fulfillment. In contrast, extrinsic incentives can undermine employee productivity by reducing their intrinsic motivation, autonomy and choice, or creating a dependency or expectancy. Therefore, organizations should focus on creating a work environment that supports and nurtures intrinsic incentives for employees.

How Gamification Increased Intrinsic Motivation for Learning among Employees at Deloitte

Learning is an essential activity for employees in today's dynamic and competitive work environment. Learning can help employees acquire new skills, knowledge and competencies that can enhance their performance, career development and organizational success. However, learning can also be challenging, boring or stressful for some employees, especially when they have to deal with heavy workloads, tight deadlines or complex topics. Therefore, it is important to find ways to motivate employees to engage in learning and to make learning more enjoyable and meaningful for them. One way to do this is to use gamification, which is the application of game elements and mechanics in non-game contexts. Gamification can create a fun and immersive learning experience that can stimulate employees' intrinsic motivation, which is the motivation that comes from within the individual, such as interest, enjoyment, challenge, autonomy and purpose. In this case study, we will examine how Deloitte, a global professional services firm, used gamification to increase intrinsic motivation for learning among its employees through a gamified online learning platform called Deloitte Leadership Academy (DLA).

A case study conducted by Robson et al. (2015) 1 examined the effects of gamification on intrinsic motivation for learning among employees at Deloitte, a global professional services firm. Gamification is the use of game elements and mechanics in non-game contexts to enhance motivation, engagement and behavior change. The study involved the design and implementation of a gamified learning platform called Deloitte Leadership Academy (DLA), which offered online courses on various topics related to leadership and management. The gamified platform included features such as badges, leaderboards, levels, missions, feedback and social interaction. Intrinsic motivation for learning was measured using the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI), which assessed interest/enjoyment, perceived competence, effort and value/usefulness.

The results showed that gamification increased intrinsic motivation for learning among employees who used the DLA platform. The IMI scores were significantly higher for the gamified group than for the non-gamified group, indicating that gamification enhanced the employees' interest, enjoyment, competence, effort and value of learning. Moreover, the study found that gamification also increased the employees' engagement and retention on the platform, as well as their completion and satisfaction rates of the courses. The authors concluded that gamification can be an effective tool to increase intrinsic motivation for learning among employees in organizational settings.

Literature Review on the Theories and Empirical Evidence of Intrinsic Incentive Effects on Employee Behavior and Outcomes

Incentives are external factors that influence behavior, such as money, recognition, feedback, or punishment. Intrinsic motivation is the desire to engage in an activity for its own sake, without external rewards or pressures. The relationship between incentives, intrinsic motivation, and performance has been a topic of interest for researchers from various disciplines, such as economics, psychology, sociology, and management. This literature review aims to summarize the main theories and empirical evidence on how intrinsic incentive effects affect employee behavior and outcomes.

One of the main theoretical frameworks for understanding the effects of incentives on intrinsic motivation is the self-determination theory (SDT) proposed by Deci and

Ryan (1985). According to SDT, intrinsic motivation is based on three psychological needs: autonomy (the sense of being in control of one's actions), competence (the sense of being effective and capable), and relatedness (the sense of being connected and valued by others). Incentives may have positive or negative effects on intrinsic motivation, depending on whether they enhance or undermine these needs. Incentives that are contingent on performance or completion of a task may reduce intrinsic motivation by creating external pressure or controlling behavior. Incentives that are informational or supportive may increase intrinsic motivation by providing feedback or recognition.

Another theoretical perspective on the effects of incentives on intrinsic motivation is the cognitive evaluation theory (CET) developed by Deci and Ryan (1975). According to CET, intrinsic motivation is influenced by two factors: perceived competence and perceived locus of causality. Perceived competence refers to the degree to which one feels confident and capable of performing a task. Perceived locus of causality refers to the degree to which one attributes the cause of one's behavior to internal or external factors. Incentives may have positive or negative effects on intrinsic motivation, depending on how they affect these factors. Incentives that increase perceived competence may enhance intrinsic motivation by increasing self-efficacy and interest. Incentives that decrease perceived locus of causality may undermine intrinsic motivation by shifting the attribution of behavior from internal to external sources.

A third theoretical approach to the effects of incentives on intrinsic motivation is the goal-setting theory proposed by Locke and Latham (1990). According to this theory, intrinsic motivation is influenced by the type and difficulty of the goals that one sets for oneself. Goals are defined as specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and time-bound objectives that one strives to achieve. Incentives may have positive or negative effects on intrinsic motivation, depending on how they affect goal-setting processes. Incentives that are aligned with one's goals may enhance intrinsic motivation by increasing commitment and persistence. Incentives that are inconsistent with one's goals may undermine intrinsic motivation by creating conflict and confusion.

The empirical evidence on the effects of incentives on intrinsic motivation and performance is mixed and inconclusive. Some studies have found positive effects, some have found negative effects, and some have found no effects or moderating effects of various factors. A meta-analysis by Cameron et al. (2001) examined 128 studies that manipulated incentives and measured intrinsic motivation and performance. They found that incentives had a positive effect on performance quantity, but a negative effect on performance quality. They also found that incentives had a negative effect on intrinsic motivation when they were expected, tangible, or performance-contingent3. Another meta-analysis by Cerasoli et al. (2014) examined 183 studies that measured both intrinsic motivation and extrinsic incentives and their relationship with performance. They found that both intrinsic motivation and incentives predicted performance quantity and quality, but in different ways. Intrinsic motivation predicted more unique variance in quality of performance, whereas incentives were a better predictor of quantity of performance. They also found that incentives and intrinsic motivation were not necessarily antagonistic and were best considered simultaneously.

Opposing Stance on Intrinsic Incentives

Intrinsic incentives are often praised as the ideal form of motivation, as they are supposed to reflect the genuine interest and enjoyment of the individual in the task. However, intrinsic incentives are not as beneficial and reliable as they are claimed to be. In fact, intrinsic incentives may have several drawbacks and limitations that undermine their effectiveness and validity.

One of the main criticisms of intrinsic incentives is that they do not exist as a separate and independent source of motivation. According to Reiss (2005), intrinsic motivation is a myth that has no empirical support. He argues that all human motivations are influenced by external factors, such as goals, values, preferences, and needs. Therefore, there is no such thing as doing something for its own sake, without any reward or pressure. Reiss suggests that instead of dividing motivations into intrinsic and extrinsic categories, we should recognize the diversity and complexity of human needs and motivations1.

Another criticism of intrinsic incentives is that they are not sufficient or optimal for achieving high performance. According to Locke and Latham (1990), intrinsic motivation is influenced by the type and difficulty of the goals that one sets for oneself. However, intrinsic motivation may not always lead to high performance, as it depends on the availability of resources, the individual's abilities and skills, and the feedback and support from others. Therefore, intrinsic motivation may need to be complemented or supplemented by extrinsic incentives, such as money, recognition, or feedback, to enhance performance quantity and quality.

A third criticism of intrinsic incentives is that they are vulnerable to undermining or crowding-out effects by extrinsic incentives. According to Deci and Ryan (1985), intrinsic motivation is based on three psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Extrinsic incentives may have positive or negative effects on intrinsic motivation, depending on whether they enhance or undermine these needs. Extrinsic incentives that are contingent on performance or completion of a task may reduce intrinsic motivation by creating external pressure or controlling behavior. Extrinsic incentives that are informational or supportive may increase intrinsic motivation by providing feedback or recognition. However, these effects are not consistent or predictable, as they depend on various factors, such as the type, amount, timing, and framing of the incentives.

In conclusion, intrinsic incentives are not as effective and valid as they are often assumed to be. Intrinsic incentives do not exist as a pure and independent form of motivation, they are not sufficient or optimal for achieving high performance, and they are vulnerable to undermining or crowding-out effects by extrinsic incentives. Therefore, managers and policymakers should be cautious and critical when relying on intrinsic incentives to motivate employees and citizens.

References:

1.Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). **Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being**. American Psychologist, 55(1), 68–78. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68

2.Robson, K., Plangger, K., Kietzmann, J. H., McCarthy, I., & Pitt, L. (2015). **Is it all a game? Understanding the principles of gamification**. Business Horizons, 58(4), 411-420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2015.03.006

3.Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). **Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior**. New York: Plenum

4.Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (1990). **A theory of goal setting & task performance**. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall

5.Cameron, J., Banko, K. M., & Pierce, W. D. (2001). **Pervasive negative effects of rewards on intrinsic motivation: The myth continues**. The Behavior Analyst, 24(1), 1-44

6.Cerasoli, C. P., Nicklin, J. M., & Ford, M. T. (2014). **Intrinsic motivation and extrinsic incentives jointly predict performance: A 40-year meta-analysis**. Psychological ulletin, 140(4), 980-1008. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035661

7.Reiss, S. (2005). **Extrinsic and intrinsic motivation at 30: Unresolved scientific issues**. The Behavior Analyst, 28(1), 1-14

8.Урокова, Д. Б., & Толипов, М. У. У. (2020). Факторы, влияющие на развитие туризма в Узбекистане. *Достижения науки и образования*, (3 (57)), 21-23.

9.Baxriddinovna, O. D. (2023). TURIZM XIZMATLAR BOZORIDA RAQOBATBARDOSHLIKNING MOHIYATI. *ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ НАУКА И ИННОВАЦИОННЫЕ ИДЕИ В МИРЕ*, *16*(2), 158-163.