

## THE ECONOMIC MODERNIZATION OF UZBEKISTAN

*Qulliyev Oxunjon Anvar o'g'li*

*Bukhara State University*

*Lecturer at the Department of Economics*

[oxunjonqulliyev@gmail.com](mailto:oxunjonqulliyev@gmail.com)

**Annotation:** In this article all states are facing financial challenges induced by the pandemic, but states that have taken steps to modernize their tax codes—and minimize the economic impact of the taxes they do levy—can be expected to recover more quickly than states that have unduly burdensome tax structures.

**Keywords:** COVID-19 pandemic, economy, economic Modernization, policy, business, stability World Bank, export, market, development, investment, model.

### INTRODUCTION.

The COVID-19 pandemic has created immense fiscal challenges for individuals, businesses, and governments alike. Stay-at-home orders, business closures, and social distancing guidelines have severely impacted business income and activities, and, in turn, employment and personal income. As state policymakers look to the months and years ahead, much of the speed of the economic recovery depends on how much longer COVID-19 remains a significant public health threat. It is difficult for even public health experts to predict how long the pandemic will impact life as we know it, but even after the immediate public health crisis abates, the economic recovery is expected to take several years. As such, Nebraska policymakers have an important role to play in ensuring their state is in an ideal position for a smooth and speedy economic recovery, and tax policy is an important part of that conversation.

The COVID-19 pandemic emerged in the United States with little warning and has put all states under a great deal of unexpected fiscal strain. Stay-at-home orders, business closures, social distancing guidelines, and similar policies have been widely deployed to mitigate the spread of the virus, but these measures carry significant economic costs, with personal consumption, business income, employment, and personal income all taking a hit and thereby impacting income and sales tax revenues. All states are facing financial challenges induced by the pandemic, but states that have taken steps to modernize their tax codes—and minimize the economic impact of the taxes they do levy—can be expected to recover more quickly than states that have unduly burdensome tax structures. As such, Nebraska policymakers should prioritize enacting pro-growth structural tax reforms now—when taxpayers and the economy need it most—rather than waiting to enact such reforms until years down the road.

“The Economic Modernization of Uzbekistan” is a Silk Road Paper published by the Central Asia-Caucasus Institute and Silk Road Studies Program, Joint Center. The Silk Road Papers Series is the Occasional Paper series of the Joint Center, and addresses topical and timely subjects. The Joint Center is a transatlantic independent and non-profit research and policy center. It has offices in Washington and Stockholm and is affiliated with the American Foreign Policy Council and the Institute for Security and Development Policy. It is the first institution of its kind in Europe and North America, and is firmly established as a leading research and policy center, serving a large and diverse community of analysts, scholars, policy-watchers, business leaders, and journalists. The Joint Center is at the forefront of research on issues of conflict, security, and development in the region. Through its applied research, publications, research cooperation, public lectures, and seminars, it functions as a focal point for academic, policy, and public discussion regarding the region.

When Shavkat Mirziyoyev succeeded Islam Karimov as President of Uzbekistan, many observers expected his tenure to represent continuity rather than change. And while continuity is present in terms of the focus on independence and sovereignty of Uzbekistan, Mirziyoyev also showed a pro-active desire to improve foreign relations and initiate major economic reforms, designed to strengthen the strategic position of Uzbekistan. Mirziyoyev inherited an economic structure left behind by his predecessor, who consistently emphasized that his approach to economic change had been based on gradualism. The Uzbek government was cautious; but it was not opposed to change. Small-scale privatization was quickly implemented after independence, based on an appeal to a tradition of family homes and small businesses. In the 1990s, the Uzbek economy benefitted from an abundance of cotton, which was relatively easy to bring to world markets at prices that were buoyant at the time. The state’s marketing monopoly ensured that a substantial share of the higher cotton revenues went to the government, which, as a result, was able to maintain social services better than other Central Asian countries. By some measures, Uzbekistan was the best-performing of all Soviet successor states in the 1990s, despite its rejection of the rapid reforms recommended by International Financial Institutions: by the end of the decade it was the first Soviet successor state to regain its pre-1991 real GDP level. However, falling cotton prices in 1996 led the government to abandon its commitment to make the currency convertible and instead introduce strict exchange controls. When global demand dipped a decade later, the government again tightened forex controls, leading to the emergence of a substantial black market. Very much as a result of this multiple exchange rate economy, Uzbekistan also failed to further diversify its economy. Also, Uzbekistan’s borders remained tightly controlled, both for reasons of security and to protect import-competing industries. Governance inefficiency became one of the major societal challenges for growth and development in Uzbekistan, contributing to many

illnesses of the Uzbek economy, including unemployment. As a result, several million migrants were forced to move abroad, primarily to Russia, in search of work. By the 2010s, Uzbekistan’s social policies – once a source of pride – were perceived to be deteriorating. Despite many shortfalls, Uzbekistan by the end of 2016, remained an economically stable country, but with the need to transform in order to meet the challenges it was facing. It was from this position of stability, but also a sense of urgency, that the newly elected president Mirziyoyev started implementing reforms. President Mirziyoyev had a very good idea where to start, since some of these reforms were designed during his tenure as Prime Minister, and they only required political will to be implemented. On October 5, 2016, Mirziyoyev signed the decree “On Additional Measures to Ensure the Accelerated Development of Entrepreneurship, the Full Protection of Private Property, and the Qualitative Improvement of the Business Environment”. This initiative sent a clear signal as to his priorities: an understanding that the private sector will be the key driver for economic growth and job creation in Uzbekistan going forward. In February 2017, Uzbekistan adopted a 2017-2021 National Development Strategy, which identified five priority areas:

- 1) Reform of public administration;
- 2) Reform of the judiciary, strengthening the rule of law and parliamentary reform;
- 3) Reforms in economic development and liberalization, focusing on modernization of Uzbek agriculture and industry and oriented towards greater competitiveness of the products and services;
- 4) Social reforms, based on higher incomes and better jobs, oriented on higher quality health care, education, housing etc.
- 5) Reforms in the security area, focusing on improvements to ensure domestic stability and balanced and constructive foreign policy with the ultimate goal of strengthening the independence and sovereignty of state.

Following this strategy, President Mirziyoyev signaled new directions in both foreign economic relations and domestic economic policy. The areas were well-chosen: the adoption of foreign exchange controls and the high costs of conducting international trade were the two outstanding flaws in the economy.

## **REFERENCES AND METHODS**

A most significant reform came in September 2017, when the Central Bank of Uzbekistan reunified Uzbekistan’s exchange rates, and President Mirziyoyev promised freely floating market-determined rates for the future. Simultaneously, restrictions were lifted for legal entities and individuals to convert currency. The currency reform was followed by increased activity in foreign financial markets. This included

substantial deals totaling over \$1 billion with, among other, Deutsche Bank, Commerzbank, and the EBRD.

Mirziyoyev’s first year in power saw a flurry of reciprocal foreign visits that enabled the new President to establish relations with leaders of neighboring states and great economic powers. Mirziyoyev’s outreach to Uzbekistan’s neighbors signaled a shift in policies and priorities, and the meetings with Turkmen, Kazakh and Tajik leaders in particular highlighted connectivity, and hence Uzbekistan’s reintegration into a regional economic circle. This has begun to deliver results, including new direct air connections linking Tashkent to Dushanbe and Kabul, greatly facilitating travel, as well as growing trade figures.

Uzbekistan is making progress in reforming governance and public services, taking steps that are having an impact on the lives of ordinary citizens and makes it easier for businesses to operate. As a result of those reforms, the country has moved up to 74<sup>th</sup> place in the World Bank’s “Doing Business” ranking, from 87<sup>th</sup> in 2015 and from 146<sup>th</sup> in 2013.

Reform has also reached the cotton sector. The ban on child labor in cotton picking was broadened to include education and health workers, and in September 2017, the government ordered all forced labor to be sent home. Henceforth, wage increases may make cotton-picking more attractive to voluntary labor, while mechanization is also being considered. These reform policies were positively assessed by many international institutions, including the IMF and other International Financial Institutions.

Looking forward, Uzbekistan will need to work to reverse the bias against exports left over from the previous years. South Korea accomplished this in 1964 after a decade of mild import substitution, suggesting it can be done successfully. There are already positive signs: exports increased by more than 15 percent and the country signed export deals worth \$11 billion dollars in 2017.

The experience of 2017 is encouraging, but Uzbekistan’s reforms are at an initial stage, and the key issue is how successful the Mirziyoyev administration will be in implementing these systemic reforms. The initial steps have yet to create free pricing and competition in fuel, because the centralized management and pricing system remains in place. This example highlights the multifaceted needs (e.g. enterprise reform and institutional change as well as price liberalization) if market mechanisms are to function well. In general, economic reform rarely yields immediate benefits, and requires some degree of patience.

The timing of Tashkent’s reforms is also auspicious because of the revival of continental trade linking Europe and Asia through Central Asia. Centrally located in the heart of Asia, Uzbekistan could serve as a transit hub for cargos coming from China, India, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and even Southeast Asian countries. China’s

Belt and Road Initiative is providing a major impetus to develop infrastructure in the region. Other regional initiatives also work in Uzbekistan’s favor, including the launching of the Baku-Tbilisi-Kars railway, Turkmenistan’s interest in this project, and the Lapis Lazuli corridor linking Central Asia with Afghanistan. With Uzbekistan an engaged participant in these processes, the prospects for the development of continental trade are greatly improved.

Uzbekistan started out 2020 as The Economist’s “Country of the year” as efforts to transform what just four years ago was still basically something like an old-fashioned post-Soviet dictatorship, dismantle trade protectionist policies and develop the beginnings of a free market bore much fruit.

The coronavirus-afflicted year that has just passed obviously proved a setback for the pace of the transformation engineered by Shavkat Mirziyoyev, the former prime minister who succeeded despot for 27 years Islam Karimov in late 2016, but Uzbekistan’s “Third Renaissance”—as the presidential administration refers to it—is far from derailed.

Despite the impact of the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, Uzbekistan is still set to achieve growth, amounting to 0.7% in 2020, with a bounceback to 5.0% in 2021, according to the October update of the International Monetary Fund’s World Economic Outlook. The country’s finance ministry, meanwhile, remains a tad more optimistic about likely 2020 GDP (see below). The World Bank by its October update was expecting something similar to the IMF, with Marco Mantovanelli, World Bank country manager for Uzbekistan, saying: “Despite the current challenges, the authorities have reconfirmed their strong commitment to continue reforms in key sectors of the economy to achieve stronger growth and improve wellbeing of citizens. This is very important to ensure a more resilient and inclusive post COVID-19 recovery.”

Macroeconomic indicators	2019	2020 (forecast)	2021 (forecast)
GDP growth	5,7%	1-1,5%	5,1%
Inflation	15,2%	11-11,5%	9-10%
Unemployment	9%	11,1%	n/a
CPI	13,5%	12,5%	9-10%
Foreign trade balance	\$41.8bn	\$38.6bn	\$41.9bn
Foreign direct investments	\$6.51bn	\$6.63bn	\$7.81bn

**Source:** *Uzbek Finance Ministry for above and all graphs and tables below.*

To push back against the coronavirus health and economic emergency, the government adopted an action plan to restore economic growth and stick with systemic

structural reforms (see below). In late October, Renaissance Capital put out a report, “Economy of Uzbekistan: Golden case”, pointing out that the country had maintained a stable fiscal and external position, had strengthened its strategic external partnerships and has significant reserves. It noted that the active sale of gold, combined with timely financial support from various development institutions and an impressively steady influx of remittances, softened the depreciation of the Uzbekistani som (UZS), and Uzbekistan had ensured the growth of international reserves, which were enough to cover 20 months of imports. "In our view, the main problems that Uzbekistan needs to solve are the large share of the informal economy, the still dominant share of the public sector, and the lack of political diversification," Renaissance said.

### **Conclusion**

In sum, in about 18 months, President Mirziyoyev outlined a very ambitious reform agenda and started to implement it. He undertook a full travel and meeting schedule to restore the country’s international links and, in particular, to repair Uzbekistan’s fractured relations with its Central Asian neighbors. He removed a millstone around Uzbekistan’s economy by unifying the exchange rate and liberalizing access to foreign exchange. Even though it is too early to draw definitive conclusions, these steps appear to have been harbingers of a shift from economic control to greater confidence in market mechanisms. An important signal that Uzbekistan is more open for business would be to complete negotiations for accession to the World Trade Organization (WTO). On March 13, 2018, the Government of Uzbekistan hosted representatives of the World Bank, Asian Development Bank, USAID and other donor organizations and discussed a detailed 34-point accession plan “Road Map” for Uzbekistan’s entry into WTO, thus demonstrating a clear determination to join the rules-based international trade system.

With ongoing reforms, and undervalued economic assets, Uzbekistan presents an attractive opportunity for foreign direct investments. The government is creating a set of incentives in agribusiness, energy and tourism sectors, and with a continued course of liberalization, Uzbekistan may become a magnet for the investments from different parts of the world, bringing much needed jobs, management and technological know-how, and ultimately prosperity for the citizens of Uzbekistan.

Independence period plays a vital role in the history of modernizing Uzbekistan. The problem of rounding up the complex modernization processes that took place during this comprehensive period is of particular importance. The present study suggests that the problem of the periodization of this period's modernization is fixed by adopting strategic development projects aimed at modernizing an area from the role of the universally recognized model of modernization. That is because numerous

countries depend on the proposed approach to the modernization process (cyclical according to the strategic development programs adopted). The modernization process is often duplicated by the same strategic development programs, particularly in countries which use increased or chasing modernization models. In this respect, in connection with the acceptance of special strategic development programs implemented in the country, the modernization process over the years of independence can be divided into three conditional phases. The first step in implementing the Uzbek Development Model. The second stage was linked to the acceptance of the definition to further improve democratic reforms and the development of the country's civil society. The third stage is the “Strategy for Action”.

The first stage where the Uzbek Development Model is adopted. It is defined at this stage by a number of features including national state structures, national self-confidence, legislation, colonial complications and the emergence of new financial and economic structures.

Second stage was linked to the acceptance of the idea of further expanding democratic reforms and the development of the country's civil society. In that stage, a number of institutional and legal reform proposals have been implemented to liberalize the country, such as legislation, governance, the information sector, economic liberalization, the electoral system and improving NGO activity. The founding principles of statehood were established in the first period, and fundamental ideas relating to the enhancement of democratic processes of civil society were placed forward and implemented in the second period.

Step three, concerning the acceptance of the Republic of Uzbekistan's Action Plan on Further Progress. At this point in the country's development process, we have reached a stage of changes at the stage of global standards, taking into consideration the country's real problems. During this time in particular the effect of the revolutionary model on the republic's modernization process has improved. Additionally, the country's ability to access its neighbors has been one of the essential factors for accelerated modernization.

Independence period plays a vital role in the history of modernizing Uzbekistan. The problem of rounding up the complex modernization processes that took place during this comprehensive period is of particular importance. The present study suggests that the problem of the periodization of this period's modernization is fixed by adopting strategic development projects aimed at modernizing an area from the role of the universally recognized model of modernization. That is because numerous countries depend on the proposed approach to the modernization process (cyclical according to the strategic development programs adopted). The modernization process is often duplicated by the same strategic development programs, particularly in countries which use increased or chasing modernization models. In this respect, in

connection with the acceptance of special strategic development programs implemented in the country, the modernization process over the years of independence can be divided into three conditional phases. The first step in implementing the Uzbek Development Model. The second stage was linked to the acceptance of the definition to further improve democratic reforms and the development of the country's civil society. The third stage is the “Strategy for Action”.

The first stage where the Uzbek Development Model is adopted. It is defined at this stage by a number of features including national state structures, national self-confidence, legislation, colonial complications and the emergence of new financial and economic structures.

Second stage was linked to the acceptance of the idea of further expanding democratic reforms and the development of the country's civil society. In that stage, a number of institutional and legal reform proposals have been implemented to liberalize the country, such as legislation, governance, the information sector, economic liberalization, the electoral system and improving NGO activity. The founding principles of statehood were established in the first period, and fundamental ideas relating to the enhancement of democratic processes of civil society were placed forward and implemented in the second period.

Step three, concerning the acceptance of the Republic of Uzbekistan's Action Plan on Further Progress. At this point in the country's development process, we have reached a stage of changes at the stage of global standards, taking into consideration the country's real problems. During this time in particular the effect of the revolutionary model on the republic's modernization process has improved. Additionally, the country's ability to access its neighbors has been one of the essential factors for accelerated modernization

#### REFERENCES:

1. Qulliyev O. ISHLAB CHIQRISH IMKONIYATLARI CHIZIG'I //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 1.
2. Qulliyev O., Abduqahhorov B. ECONOMIC GLOBALIZATION //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). – 2020. – Т. 2. – №. 2.
3. Qulliyev O. PUL MABLAG'LARI HISOB: IQTISODIYOTDAGI O'RNI VA ULARNING ASOSIY VAZIFALARI //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). – 2020. – Т. 2. – №. 2.
4. Qulliyev O. ЛИНИЯ ВОЗМОЖНОСТЕЙ ПРОИЗВОДСТВА //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). – 2020. – Т. 2. – №. 2.
5. Qulliyev O. Covid-19 и экономика Узбекистана //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). – 2020. – Т. 2. – №. 2.



6. Qulliyev O. ПРИНЦИПЫ ФУНКЦИОНИРОВАНИЯ И ОСНОВНЫЕ НАПРАВЛЕНИЯ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ МНОГОСТОРОННИХ БАНКОВ //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). – 2020. – Т. 2. – №. 2.
7. Qulliyev O., Jiyanov L. ИННОВАЦИОННОЕ РАЗВИТИЕ И СЕЛЬСКОХОЗЯЙСТВЕННЫЙ ПОДХОД К СЕЛЬСКОМУ ХОЗЯЙСТВУ //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). – 2020. – Т. 2. – №. 2.
8. Qulliyev Oxunjon Anvarovich, QA Anvarovich. THE ECONOMIC MODERNIZATION OF UZBEKISTAN //ResearchJet Journal of Analysis and Inventions. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 05. – С. 332-339.
9. Rasulovich K. A., Ulugbekovich K. A. SECTION: ECONOMICS //POLISH SCIENCE JOURNAL. – 2020. – С. 25.
10. Rasulovich K. A. THE ROLE OF AGRO-TOURISM IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE IN RURAL AREAS //Наука и образование сегодня. – 2021. – №. 3 (62). – С. 13-14.
11. Khodjayev A. R. et al. EFFICIENCY OF USING MODERN INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES IN SMALL BUSINESS //World science: problems and innovations. – 2021. – С. 130-132.
12. Xodjayev A. et al. THE ROLE OF SMM MARKETING IN SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT DURING A PANDEMIC //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 1.
13. Qulliyev Oxunjon Anvar o'g'li. Covid-19 va O'zbekiston iqtisodiyoti JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH AND STABILITY (JARS) BARQARORLIK VA YETAKCHI TADQIQOTLAR Volume: 01 Issue: 05| 2021
14. Qulliyev Oxunjon Anvar o'g'li CHOVACHILIK TARMOG'INI RIVOJLANTIRISH VA AQLLI FERMADA ZAMONAVIY SENSORLI TECHNOLOGIYALARNING RIVOJLANISHI Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot unuversiteti konferensiyasi 2021-y. 186-192-betlar.
15. Anvar o'g'li, Qulliyev Oxunjon. "Functioning Principles and Main Activities of Multilateral Development Banks." *International Journal of Culture and Modernity* 15 (2022): 83-86.